04

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT: BILASPUR

W.P. No. 3130 **OF 2005**

PETITIONER : Ishwar Lal Rajak, aged 47 years, son of late Shri Moti Lal Rajak, resident of Burgess school compound, Magarpara, Bilaspur, tehsil and district Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS: 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, department of Chhattisgarh Infrastructure Development Corporation (Pariwahan Prabhag), Raipur,

2. General Manager, Chhattisgarh Infrastructure Development Corporation (Pariwahan Prabhag), Raipur,

3. The Depo Manager, Chhattisgarh Adhosanrachana Vikas Nigam (Pariwahan Prabhag), Unit Bilaspur.

P.R. No. 3254/05
Presented by Shri K.K. Jaiswal
dated 12/7/05

RECEIVED-COPY
12.7.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER, OR DIRECTIONS.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3130/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

27.07.2005

Shri K.K. Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner.

W.P. No. 3130 of 2005:

Heard on admission.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner was appointed on the post of Helper on 15.2.1978 in the erstwhile M.P. State Road Transport Corporation and the petitioner was deputed to do the work of Tyre Care Taker from 19.7.1990 to 31.1.2003 which caries the different pay of scale.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the difference of pay in between the two posts of Helper and Tyre Care Taker pertaining to the period of 19.7.1990 to 31.1.2003 has not been given to the petitioner.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the present, this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to dispose of the representation within a stipulated period granted by this Court.

This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner seems to be reasonable and the same is allowed.

It is directed that if the petitioner files a detailed representation along with certified copy of this order and copy of this writ petition before the concerned authority within a period of three weeks from today, then the concerned authority shall

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3130/2005 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

dispose of representation of the petitioner by passing a reasoned order on each points raised by the petitioner as early as possible preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representation.

It is made clear that even after decision of the representation, if any grievance remains with the petitioner, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court again.

With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands finally disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Vatti